

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,123	08/08/2003	J. David Brickman	3730/4	3835
75	90 03/22/2005		EXAM	INER
Charles C. Va	lauskas	GIBSON, ROBERT W		
BANIAK PINE & GANNON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 1200				FAFER NUMBER
150 N. Wacker Drive			3634	
Chicago, IL 6	0606		ma	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/637,123	BRICKMAN, J. DAVID		
♥ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Robert W. Gibson, Jr.	3634		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply		correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 12-17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on 08 August 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
		,		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D			

Sf

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Page 2

1. The drawings are objectionable. In this regard, the drawings are clearly informal and very difficult to view and comprehend. Correction is required.

2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, line 1 "said first and second channel" has no antecedent. It appears that claim 5 should depend from claim 4.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Morgan '775.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

6. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan `775.

Dimensions, relative dimensions, and angles are all generally considered to be modifications that are a matter of choice and design, and well within the purview of one with ordinary skill in this art.

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morgan `775 in view of Smith `737 or Vanderveld `176.

It would have been obvious to modify the structure of Morgan '775, whereby the left and right walls are formed with an inner wall and an outer wall, for increased strength, as taught by Smith '737 or Vanderveld '176.

Art Unit: 3634

- 8. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Claims 12-17 are allowed.
- 11. The patents to Palm, O'Reilly et al., Tompkins, Brooks, Trevaskis, Connor et al., Pancoe, Carpenter, and Kral et al. are cited to show similar structures.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W. Gibson, Jr. whose telephone number is 703-308-2168. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be

Art Unit: 3634

reached on 703-308-2486. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert W. Gibson, Jr. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3634